

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,131	04/21/2004	James Chich-Tsung Chen	MP0506	5418	
26703 HARNESS, DI	7590 05/03/2007 ICKEY & PIERCE P.L.C.		EXAMINER		
5445 CORPOR			KIRK, LAMEKA J		
SUITE 200 TROY, MI 48098			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2609		
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/829,131	CHEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lameka J. Kirk	2609	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peric - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by slat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. Exply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The solution of the supplication is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the supplication is in condition.	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September 2004</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the 	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/829,131 Page 2

Art Unit: 2609

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 21, 2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 5,14,24,32,41 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 5,14,24,32,41 and 51, the claims recite, "wherein the wireless network applications are selected from the group consisting of:" in preamble. It is not clear how many applications should be selected from the group.

For examination on the merits, the recited limitation will be read as -- wherein at least one wireless network applications is selected from the group consisting of:.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to on-statutory subject matter.

Art Unit: 2609

Regarding **claim 37**, the claimed software is non-statutory subject matter since it is not a process, machine, manufacture nor composition of matter; nor is it recorded on computer-readable medium, see MPEP 2106(IV)(B)(1).

Claim 37 lacks the proper preamble language for statutory computer program product. See MPEP 2100 for guidance on computer related inventions.

Correction is required.

7. **Claims 38-43**, dependent from claim 37, include the same problems explained above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claim 1,3,6,10,17,19,21-23,30,33,44,46,48-50 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bauchot et al. (US Patent Number 5,644,576).

Regarding **claim 1**, Bauchot et al. discloses a wireless network device for communicating with a network ((indoor radio system), column 4, lines 52-53, figure 1) comprising:

a memory to store an image comprising a plurality of virtual machines (application programs, figure 1, 72) and only one multi-tasking operating system (figure 2, 70), wherein each of the virtual machines comprises a wireless network application to execute on the multi-tasking operating system (column 5, lines 65-67);

Art Unit: 2609

a processor to execute the virtual machines (microprocessor system, figure 2, 56, column 5, lines 35-37); and

a port comprising

a physical-layer device to communicate with the network (transceiver adapter, figure 1A, 38 or 44; column 5, lines 29-31), and

a media access controller (wireless network controller, figure 1, 18) to communicate with the physical-layer device and the processor.

Regarding claims 3,19,30 and 46, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied (see claims 1,17,28,44). In addition Bauchot et al. discloses that the memory comprises a non-volatile memory (Program storage, figure 2, 66), further comprising:

volatile memory (data storage, figure 2, 68); and

memory controller (direct memory access (DMA) controller, column 5, line 50) for creating a copy of the image from the non-volatile memory to the volatile memory (column 5, lines 47-51);

wherein the processor executes the virtual machines from the volatile memory (column 5, lines 47-51).

Regarding **claims 6 and 33**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied (see claims 1 and 28). In addition, Bauchot et al. discloses that the image further comprises:

a plurality of virtual machine device drivers to communicate with the virtual machines (column 6, lines 1-5); and

Art Unit: 2609

a media access controller device driver to communicate with the virtual machine device drivers and the media access controller (column 6, lines 1-5).

Regarding **claim 10**, Bauchot et al. discloses a method for a wireless network device for communicating with a network ((indoor radio system), column 4, lines 52-53, figure 1) comprising:

storing an image comprising a plurality of virtual machines and only one multitasking operating system, wherein each of the virtual machines comprises a wireless network application to execute on the multi-tasking operating system (column 5, lines 65-67); and

executing the virtual machines (microprocessor system, figure 2, 56, column 5, lines 35-37).

Regarding **claim 17**, Bauchot et al. discloses a wireless network device for communicating with a network ((indoor radio system), column 4, lines 52-53, figure 1) comprising:

a memory to store an image comprising a plurality of virtual machines (application programs, figure 1, 72) and only one multi-tasking operating system (figure 2, 70), wherein each of the virtual machines comprises a wireless network application to execute on the multi-tasking operating system; a processor to execute the virtual machines (column 5, lines 65-67); and

a bus to communicate with the processor and the network (figure 2, 52).

Art Unit: 2609

Regarding **claims 21 and 48**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied (see claims 17 and 44). In addition, Bauchot et al. discloses that the image further comprises:

a plurality of virtual machine device drivers to communicate with the virtual machines (column 6, lines 1-5); and

a bus interface driver to communicate with the virtual machine device drivers and the bus (column 6, lines 5-6).

Regarding **claims 22 and 49**, Bauchot et al. discloses a physical-layer device to communicate with the network(transceiver adapter, figure 1A, 38 or 44; column 5, lines 29-31) and

a media access controller (wireless network controller, figure 1, 18) to communicate with the physical-layer device and the bus.

Regarding **claims 23 and 50**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 22 and 49). In addition, Bauchot et al discloses a plurality of virtual machine device drivers to communicate with the virtual machines (column 6, lines 1-5);

a first bus interface driver to communicate with the virtual machine device drivers and the bus (column 6, lines 5-6);

a second bus interface driver to communicate with the bus (figure 2, 52); and a media access controller device driver to communicate with the second bus interface driver and the media access controller (column 6, lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 2609

Regarding **claim 28**, Bauchot et al. discloses a wireless network device for communicating with a network ((indoor radio system), column 4, lines 52-53, figure 1) comprising:

memory means for storing an image comprising a plurality of virtual machines (application programs, figure 1, 72) and only one multi-tasking operating system (figure 2, 70), wherein each of the virtual machines comprises a wireless network application to execute on the multi-tasking operating system (column 5, lines 65-67);

processing means for executing the virtual machines (microprocessor system, figure 2, 56, column 5, lines 35-37); and

port means comprising

physical-layer means for communicating with the network (transceiver adapter, figure 1A, 38 or 44; column 5, lines 29-31), and

media access control means (wireless network controller, figure 1, 18) for communicate with the physical-layer means and the processing means.

Regarding **claim 44**, Bauchot et al. discloses wireless network device for communicating with a network comprising:

memory means for storing an image comprising a plurality of virtual machines (application programs, figure 1, 72) and only one multi-tasking operating system (figure 2, 70), wherein each of the virtual machines comprises a wireless network application to execute on the multi-tasking operating system; processing means for executing the virtual machines (column 5, lines 65-67); and

bus means for communicating with the processing means and the network (figure 2, 52).

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

11. Claims 37 and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meredith et al. (Patent Application Publication US 2003/0212761 A1).

Regarding **claim 37**, Meredith et al. discloses a computer program embodying instructions executable by a computer for a wireless network device for communicating with a network (paragraph 37, lines 17-27) comprising:

storing an image comprising a plurality of virtual machines (application programs, figure 2, 235) and only one multi-tasking operating system (figure 2, 234), wherein each of the virtual machines comprises a wireless network application to execute on the multi-tasking operating system (figure 1, 102); and

executing the virtual machines (figure 2, 220).

Regarding **claim 39**, Meredith et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 37). In addition, Meredith et al. discloses copying the image from the non-volatile memory to a volatile memory (paragraph 39); and

Art Unit: 2609

wherein the virtual machines are executed from the volatile memory (paragraph 39).

Regarding **claim 40**, Meredith et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 37). In addition, Meredith et al. discloses creating in the volatile memory a virtual machine queue (figure 3B, 302C3) for each virtual machine and a processor queue for a processor (figure 3B, 310);

storing in the processor queue data to be processed for the virtual machine being executed (paragraph 48, lines 1-3);

creating a copy in the respective virtual machine queue of the data in the processor queue when the respective virtual machine is executing (paragraph 48, lines 20-26); and

wherein when one of the virtual machines resumes executing after another of the virtual machines was executing, copying the data from the respective virtual machine queue to the processor queue (paragraph 48, lines 6-10).

Regarding **claim 41**, Meredith et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 37). In addition, Meredith et al. discloses a wireless network client (figure 1, 102).

Regarding **claim 42**, Meredith et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 37). In addition, Meredith et al. discloses computer program executing selected ones of the virtual machines in accordance with an input (paragraph 40, lines 14-19).

Application/Control Number: 10/829,131 Page 10

Art Unit: 2609

Regarding **claim 43**, Meredith et al discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 37). In addition, Meredith et al. discloses the processor executes a plurality of the virtual machines concurrently (paragraph 51).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 2,11,18,29 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauchot et al. (US Patent Number 5,644,576).

Regarding **claims 2,11 and 29**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 1,10 and 28). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose IEEE standards 802.11,802.11 a, 802.11 b, 802.11g and 802.11 n.

Nevertheless, Bauchot et al. discloses that the network is LAN (figure 1A, 24, column 4, lines 55-60). It is conventional in the art that for the use of LAN the standard is IEEE standards 802.11,802.11 a, 802.11 b, 802.11g and 802.11 n.

Art Unit: 2609

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use IEEE standards 802.11,802.11 a, 802.11 b, 802.11g and 802.11 n, because it is suggested by Bauchot et al.

Regarding **claims 18 and 45**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 17 and 44). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose IEEE standards 802.11,802.11 a, 802.11 b, 802.11g and 802.11 n.

Nevertheless, Bauchot et al. discloses that the network is LAN (figure 1A, 24, column 4, lines 55-60). It is conventional in the art that for the use of LAN the standard is IEEE standards 802.11,802.11 a, 802.11 b, 802.11g and 802.11 n.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use IEEE standards 802.11,802.11 a, 802.11 b, 802.11g and 802.11 n, because it is suggested by Bauchot et al.

14. Claims 4-5,7,8,12-16,20,24,25-26,31-32,34-35,47, 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauchot et al. (US Patent Number 5,644,576) in view of Meredith et al. (Patent Application Publication US 2003/01212761 A1).

Regarding **claims 4 and 31**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 1 and 28). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose a virtual machine queue element and processor queue element as claimed.

Meredith et al. discloses that the memory comprises a virtual machine queue (figure 3B, 302C3) for each virtual machine and a processor queue for the processor (figure 3B, 310);

wherein the processor stores data to be processed for the virtual machine being executed by the processor in the processor queue (paragraph 48, lines 1-3);

wherein each virtual machine creates a copy in the respective virtual machine queue of the data in the processor queue when the processor is executing the respective virtual machine (paragraph 48, lines 20-26); and

wherein when the processor resumes executing one of the virtual machines after executing another of the virtual machines, the one of the virtual machines copies the data from the respective virtual machine queue to the processor queue (paragraph 48, lines 6-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bauchot et al.'s invention with a virtual machine and processor queue as taught by Meredith et al. because information would be transferred as at a faster and more efficient rate.

Regarding **claims 5,14 and 32**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 1,10 and 28). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose a wireless network application.

Meredith et al. discloses a wireless network client (figure 1, 102).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bauchot et al.'s invention with a wireless network client as taught by Meredith et al. because the wireless network client allows faster access to information.

Regarding **claims 7 and 34**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 1 and 28). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose an input means or device.

Meredith et al. discloses an input device to select one or more of the virtual machines (paragraph 40, lines 14-19);

wherein the processor executes the virtual machines selected by the input device (paragraph 40, lines 19-23).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bauchot et al.'s invention with a input device or means as taught by Meredith et al. because an input device or means will allow invention to be more customer friendly and easier to use.

Regarding **claims 8,16 and 35**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 1,10 and 28). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose simultaneous execution of virtual machines.

Meredith et al. discloses the processor executes a plurality of the virtual machines concurrently (paragraph 51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow Bauchot et al.'s invention to execute the virtual machines simultaneously as taught by Meredith et al. because it provides user with more flexibility.

Art Unit: 2609

7 H. OTH. 2000

Regarding **claim 12**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 10). However, Bauchot et al. fails to specifically disclose virtual machines executed from volatile memory.

Meredith et al. discloses copying the image from the non-volatile memory to a volatile memory (paragraph 39); and

wherein the virtual machines are executed from the volatile memory (paragraph 39).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow Bauchot et al.'s invention to store and execute from volatile memory because the data is more secure in volatile memory.

Regarding **claim 13**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 10). However, Bauchot et al. fails to disclose a virtual machine queue element and a processor queue element as claimed.

Meredith et al. discloses creating in the volatile memory a virtual machine queue (figure 3B, 302C3) for each virtual machine and a processor queue for a processor (figure 3B, 310);

storing in the processor queue data to be processed for the virtual machine being executed (paragraph 48, lines 1-3);

creating a copy in the respective virtual machine queue of the data in the processor queue when the respective virtual machine is executing (paragraph 48, lines 20-26); and

wherein when one of the virtual machines resumes executing after another of the

Art Unit: 2609

virtual machines was executing, copying the data from the respective virtual machine queue to the processor queue (paragraph 48, lines 6-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bauchot et al.'s invention with a virtual machine and processor queue as taught by Meredith et al. because information would be transferred as at a faster and more efficient rate.

Regarding **claim 15**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 10). However, Bauchot et al. fails to specifically disclose execution of selected virtual machines.

Meredith et al. discloses a computer program executing selected ones of the virtual machines in accordance with an input (paragraph 40, lines 14-19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bauchot et al.'s invention a input device or means as taught by Meredith et al. because an input device or means will allow invention to be more customer friendly and easier to use.

Regarding **claims 20 and 47**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 17 and 44). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose a virtual machine queue element and a processor queue element as claimed.

Meredith et al. discloses that the memory comprises a virtual machine queue (figure 3B, 302C3) for each virtual machine and a processor queue for the processor (figure 3B, 310);

Art Unit: 2609

wherein the processor stores data to be processed for the virtual machine being executed by the processor in the processor queue (paragraph 48, lines 1-3);

wherein each virtual machine creates a copy in the respective virtual machine queue of the data in the processor queue when the processor is executing the respective virtual machine (paragraph 48, lines 20-26); and

wherein when the processor resumes executing one of the virtual machines after executing another of the virtual machines, the one of the virtual machines copies the data from the respective virtual machine queue to the processor queue (paragraph 48, lines 6-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bauchot et al.'s invention with a virtual machine and processor queue as taught by Meredith et al. because information would be transferred as at a faster and more efficient rate.

Regarding **claims 24 and 51**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 17 and 44). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose a wireless network application.

Meredith et al. discloses a wireless network client (figure 1, 102).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bauchot et al.'s invention with a wireless network client as taught by Meredith et al. because the wireless network client allows faster access to information.

Art Unit: 2609

Regarding **claims 25 and 52**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 17 and 44). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose an input means or device.

Meredith et al. discloses an input device to select one or more of the virtual machines (paragraph 40, lines 14-19);

wherein the processor executes the virtual machines selected by the input device (paragraph 40, lines 19-23).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bauchot et al.'s invention with a input device or means as taught by Meredith et al. because an input device or means will allow invention to be more customer friendly and easier to use.

Regarding **claims 26 and 53**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 17 and 44). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose simultaneous execution of virtual machines.

Meredith et al. discloses the processor executes a plurality of the virtual machines concurrently (paragraph 51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow Bauchot et al.'s invention to execute the virtual machines simultaneously as taught by Meredith et al. because it provides user with more flexibility.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,131 Page 18

Art Unit: 2609

15. Claims 9,27,36 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauchot et al. (US Patent Number 5,644,576) in view of Shuen (US Patent Number 5,572,528).

Regarding **claims 9 and 36**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 1 and 28). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose a wireless network access point virtual machine and a wireless network client virtual machine.

Shuen discloses a wireless network access point virtual machine (figure 1, 312) and a wireless network client virtual machine (figure 1, 330);

wherein the processor executes the wireless network access point virtual machine and the wireless network client virtual machine concurrently;

wherein the wireless network client virtual machine comprises

a first virtual wireless port to communicate with the port (figure 1, 309A, column 12, lines 30-32), and

a first virtual bridge to communicate with the first virtual wireless port (router, figure 1,342); and

wherein the wireless network access point virtual machine comprises
a second virtual wireless port to communicate with the port (figure 1, 309B, column 12, lines 30-32)

a virtual distribution service port to communicate with the first virtual

Art Unit: 2609

bridge (WAN link, figure 1, 324, 304), and

a second virtual bridge to communicate with the second virtual wireless port and the virtual distribution service port (router, figure 1, 342).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bauchot et al.'s invention with a wireless network access point virtual machine and a wireless network client virtual machine as taught by Shuen because those elements provide faster and more efficient service.

Regarding **claims 27 and 54**, Bauchot et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 17 and 44). However, Bauchot et al. fails to particularly disclose a wireless network access point virtual machine and a wireless network client virtual machine.

Shuen discloses a wireless network access point virtual machine (figure 1, 312) and a wireless network client virtual machine (figure 1, 330);

wherein the processor executes the wireless network access point virtual machine and the wireless network client virtual machine concurrently;

wherein the wireless network client virtual machine comprises

a first virtual wireless port to communicate with the bus (figure 1, 309A, column 12, lines 30-32), and

a first virtual bridge to communicate with the first virtual wireless port (router, figure 1,342); and

wherein the wireless network access point virtual machine comprises

Art Unit: 2609

a second virtual wireless port to communicate with the bus (figure 1, 309B, column 12, lines 30-32)

a virtual distribution service port to communicate with the first virtual bridge (WAN link, figure 1, 324, 304), and

a second virtual bridge to communicate with the second virtual wireless port and the virtual distribution service port (router, figure 1, 342).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bauchot et al.'s invention with a wireless network access point virtual machine and a wireless network client virtual machine as taught by Shuen because those elements provide faster and more efficient service.

16. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meredith et al. (Patent Application Publication US 2003/01212761 A1).

Regarding claim 38, Meredith et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 37). However, Meredith et al. fails to particularly disclose IEEE standards 802.11,802.11 a, 802.11 b, 802.11g and 802.11 n.

Nevertheless, Meredith et al. discloses that the network is LAN (paragraph 41, lines 10-17). It is conventional in the art that for the use of LAN the standard is IEEE standards 802.11,802.11 a, 802.11 b, 802.11g and 802.11 n.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use IEEE standards 802.11,802.11 a, 802.11 b, 802.11g and 802.11 n, because it is suggested by Meredith et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,131 Page 21

Art Unit: 2609

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lameka J. Kirk whose telephone number is 571-270-1662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano can be reached on 571-272-7925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lk 04/30/2007

ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER